The forkhead box P2 gene, designated FOXP2, is the first gene implicated in a speech and language disorder. Since its discovery, many studies have been carried out in an attempt to explain the mechanism by which it influences these characteristically human traits. This review presents the story of the discovery of the FOXP2 gene, including early studies of the phenotypic implications of a disruption in the gene. We then discuss recent investigations into the molecular function of the FOXP2 gene, including functional and gene expression studies. We conclude this review by presenting the fascinating results of recent studies of the FOXP2 ortholog in other species that are capable of vocal communication. © 2013 The Authors. WIREs Cognitive Science published by John Wiley & Sons, Ltd.
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INTRODUCTION

The story of the discovery of the FOXP2 (forkhead box P2) gene (OMIM#605317) and the elucidation of its involvement in a speech and language disorder begins with the study of a multigenerational British family known as the KE family. Studies of the KE family appeared in the scientific literature in the early 1990s. A first examination of the pedigree was carried out by Hurst et al. Various theories regarding the nature of the disorder have been proposed: Hurst et al. determined that affected family members suffered from developmental verbal dyspraxia, whereas Gopnik et al. proposed that the disorder involved an impairment of the grammatical competence of the affected family members, namely, in the morphological component thereof. The former view received further support from a thorough examination of 21 KE family members. The authors showed that the affected KE family members included in the study had, in addition to the morphological impairment, impaired processing, problems with articulation, and a severe orofacial dyspraxia (the reader is invited to refer to Table 1 for definitions of various terms used throughout this paper). Moreover, affected KE family members, as a group, had lower verbal and performance IQ scores than unaffected KE family members. It was therefore evident that the affected KE family members had both a linguistic impairment and an extra-linguistic impairment. Given the inheritance pattern, it seemed likely that one gene was the cause of the disorder, but given the diverse nature of the impairment, the extent to which that gene was affecting language directly was unclear. Therefore, subsequent studies focused on defining the phenotypic nature of disorder, using both linguistic-behavioral and neuroimaging methods, on one hand, and on finding the gene itself, on the other hand.

DEFINING THE KE FAMILY PHENOTYPE

A 1998 study found that the affected KE family members were individually impaired on three tests: word repetition, nonword repetition, and simultaneous and sequential orofacial movements. The researchers further assessed the differences between some affected and some unaffected KE family members using two brain imaging techniques: positron emission tomography (PET) and magnetic resonance imaging (MRI). In the PET experiment, two affected KE family members and four normal control subjects were examined under two conditions: during scanning, the family members were asked to repeat words heard over headphones, compared to the baseline condition, in which
they were asked to repeat a single specified word in response to hearing words that were reversed. It was found that some brain regions were underactive (compared to baseline levels) in the KE family members and some were overactive, compared to the normal controls. The underactive regions included the left supplementary motor area (SMA), the subjacent cingulate cortex on the left, and the left preSMA/cingulate cortex. These regions were activated compared to baseline levels in the controls but not in the two affected KE family members. The left sensorimotor face and mouth region was also less active in the affected KE family members than in the controls, but it was still active compared to baseline levels. The areas that were overactive in the two affected KE family members included the head and tail of the left caudate nucleus, the left premotor cortex with a ventral extension into Broca’s area, and a left ventral prefrontal area.

Ten affected and seven unaffected KE family members were subsequently tested using MRI in order to try to find any structural differences in their brains that could account for the functional abnormalities in the affected members who were tested using PET. Several regions where affected members had significantly
more or significantly less gray matter than unaffected members were identified: affected members had more gray matter in the lentiform nucleus and the angular gyrus (bilaterally in both cases), and less gray matter in preSMA/cingulate cortex, Broca’s area and the caudate nucleus (bilaterally in the third case). The caudate nucleus was therefore implicated in both the PET and MRI analyses, and further examination showed that the affected family members had significantly smaller left and right caudate volumes than the unaffected family members.6 This finding was confirmed in another study,7 although it should be noted that the differences in the caudate nucleus volume were apparent at the group level (i.e., affected KE family members/unaffected KE family members/controls), and not at the individual level. Other brain regions identified in this study where affected KE family members differed in the amount of gray matter compared with unaffected members or controls included the putamen, the frontal operculum, the medial and lateral motor cortex, the cerebellum, and the planum temporal.7

A subsequent functional MRI (fMRI) study8 found that mainly two brain regions showed significant underactivation during silent verb generation, spoken verb generation, and word repetition, in affected KE family members: Broca’s area and the putamen. The study also found that affected KE family members had fMRI activation of regions that are not usually involved in language-related tasks.

A behavioral analysis by Watkins et al.9 was carried out in an attempt to define the ‘core deficit’ in the affected KE family members. The cohort included 13 affected and 12 unaffected members of the KE family, and a group of 11 stroke patients with expressive aphasia. The study included intelligence tests and receptive and expressive language tests (including nonword repetition). Limb, oral, and facial musculature movements were assessed as well. The affected KE family members had significantly lower mean performance IQ than the unaffected family members and the aphasia patients. The affected family members were significantly impaired at receptive vocabulary compared with the two other groups, and together with the aphasia patients, they were significantly impaired at receptive grammar compared to the unaffected family members. The affected family members performed poorly compared with both other groups on a word repetition test, and compared with the unaffected family members on a nonword repetition test. The affected family members and the aphasia patients were significantly impaired at past tense production for both regular and irregular verbs compared with the unaffected family members. Similarly, the unaffected family members had significantly higher scores for orofacial praxis than the two other groups. While the affected family members and the aphasia patients performed similarly on some tests, namely, receptive grammar, nonword repetition, and past tense production, the aphasia patients had significantly higher performance IQ scores, as well as higher word repetition test scores. These and other differences discussed in the paper show the different aspects of a developmental versus an acquired speech and language disorder.9 The authors’ analysis demonstrated that performance on a nonword repetition test was the best marker that discriminated the affected from the unaffected KE family members. Interestingly, nonword repetition has also been found to be a good phenotypic marker for specific language impairment.10 However, given the non-linguistic aspects of the KE family phenotype, the affected KE family members were not diagnosed with specific language impairment (see Box 1 for more information on specific language impairment).

**BOX 1**

**SPECIFIC LANGUAGE IMPAIRMENT**

Specific language impairment (SLI) is diagnosed when a child has major problems in the acquisition of language, despite showing normal development in all other areas.11 As reviewed by Stromswold,12,13 the results of several familial aggregation and twin studies support the hypothesis that SLI has a strong genetic component. In contrast to the speech and language disorder in the KE family, which is monogenic, SLI is thought to be a complex disorder, that is, a disorder which involves several genes and has a complex inheritance pattern.14 Regions on chromosomes 13,15,16, and 1916,17 were identified in linkage studies of SLI. More recently, variants in three genes were found to be associated with SLI: ATP2C2 (ATPase, Ca++ transporting, type 2C, member 2) and CMIP (c-Maf inducing protein),18 and CNTNAP2 (contactin associated protein-like 2, OMIM#604569).19 The variants in ATP2C2 and CMIP were significantly associated with nonword repetition ability in children affected by SLI, but not in the general population, which suggests that these genes are involved in phonological short-term memory in language-impaired children. Each of the two genes had an independent effect on nonword repetition ability. The variants in CNTNAP2, which were identified in another study, were also significantly associated with nonword repetition in children with SLI, as described in the text.
A MOLECULAR ANALYSIS OF THE DISORDER AND THE DISCOVERY OF THE FOXP2 GENE

While the behavioral and neuroimaging studies were taking place, researchers began looking for the gene that was the cause of the disorder in the KE family. The first analysis done by Hurst et al. suggested that the disorder from which half of the KE family members suffered was autosomal-dominant. A first genome-wide linkage analysis using 27 KE family members found strong evidence for linkage on the long arm of chromosome 7. Fine mapping of the region using additional markers implicated a 5.6 cM region in chromosomal band 7q31. This region has been designated SPCH1 (OMIM#602081). A subsequent study of the SPCH1 region used newly generated polymorphic markers, which allowed for a more accurate linkage analysis. In addition to that, two subjects suffering from language problems were also included in this study. One of those subjects, CS, also had verbal dyspraxia, thus making his phenotype very similar to that of the affected KE family members. CS had a chromosomal translocation with a breakpoint similar to that of the affected KE family members. CS also had verbal dyspraxia,28 and it is now believed that verbal dyspraxia found three previously unreported exonic variants in the FOXP2 gene. Two of the three variants did not co-segregate with affection status in the probands’ respective families. However, one of these variants, a nonsense mutation yielding a stop codon at position 328 of the protein (R328X), did co-segregate with the disorder in the proband’s family: an affected sibling and the mother, who had a history of speech problems, also had the mutation, whereas the father, who had normal speech, did not have it. This mutation leads to a dramatic truncation of the FOXP2 protein, thereby resulting in a protein with a lack of specific functional domains, including the forkhead DNA-binding domain. A functional study of this mutation revealed that the protein was predominantly found in the cytoplasm (instead of the nucleus, as expected for FOXP2) and showed no DNA-binding or transactivation capacities. As in the case of the affected KE family, the proband and affected family members in this study were heterozygous for the nonsense mutation.

A deletion in chromosome 7q31-q32 which included FOXP2 was reported for a child with otoromotor and verbal dyspraxia and developmental delay. Possible imprinting effects for FOXP2 have also been reported in the context of developmental verbal dyspraxia, in which the absence of paternally inherited FOXP2 was associated with the condition. However, another study showed that a loss of maternally inherited FOXP2 still resulted in verbal dyspraxia, and it is now believed that FOXP2 is not imprinted. It should be pointed out that while developmental verbal dyspraxia is often present in children with a dysfunctional copy of the FOXP2 gene, not all cases of developmental verbal dyspraxia are the result of such disruptions in FOXP2. Table 2 summarizes the cases discussed above.
TABLE 2 | List of Case with FOXP2 Disruptions Discussed in This Review

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Case Description</th>
<th>Reference to the Paper(s) Describing the Genetic Cause for the Disorder</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>The affected members of the KE family, who had a diverse phenotype caused by a point mutation in FOXP2.</td>
<td>Lai et al.²²</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CS, who had verbal dyspraxia resulting from a translocation disrupting the FOXP2 gene.</td>
<td>Lai et al.²²</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Three non-synonymous mutations in children with developmental verbal dyspraxia.</td>
<td>MacDermot et al.²⁵</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oromotor and verbal dyspraxia and developmental delay in a child carrying a FOXP2 deletion.</td>
<td>Zeesman et al.²⁶</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A loss of paternal FOXP2 in children with developmental verbal dyspraxia.</td>
<td>Feuk et al.²⁷</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A loss of maternal FOXP2 resulting in verbal dyspraxia.</td>
<td>Rice et al.²⁸</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Several studies have investigated the possibility that normal genetic variation, as opposed to genetic mutation, across FOXP2 may be involved in the etiology of neurological disorders. An association analysis and mutation screen of the FOXP2 gene was done on families with autism or specific language impairment, but no evidence for the direct involvement of FOXP2 in either of the disorders was found.³⁰ However, some genetic variants in FOXP2 showed association with some language and reading abilities and sequential motor activities in a dyslexia cohort.³¹ In addition to the studies involving subjects with impaired speech and/or language abilities, a study of genetic variants inside the FOXP2 gene in healthy subjects found some associations with variations in activation during reading (detected with fMRI) in the left inferior frontal gyrus and the left precentral gyrus.³²

Interestingly, a genetic variant in FOXP2 was found to be associated with poverty of speech in schizophrenia patients,³³ and another genetic variant was found to be associated with reduced gray matter concentrations in schizophrenia patients, in brain regions related to the disease.³⁴ See Ref 35 for a review that includes further studies of the phenotypic implications of FOXP2 and FOXP1 (discussed below) disruptions.

**THE FUNCTION OF THE FOXP2 GENE**

**FOXP2 Expression**

As has been shown, disruptions in the FOXP2 gene cause both linguistic and non-linguistic deficits. What mechanism might explain this fact? The FOXP2 protein is a transcription factor.²²,³⁶ Transcription factors are proteins that bind to the genomic DNA and can either activate or repress specific genes, i.e., they can increase or decrease the production of transcripts of a gene. Strong FOXP2 expression was detected in fetal brains.²² Murine Foxp2 expression was detected in the lungs and in neural, intestinal and cardiovascular tissues during development.³⁶ FOXP2 expression was also detected in the caudate nucleus of adult human brains,³⁷ a brain region implicated in the neuroimaging studies of the KE family. In the developing human brain, FOXP2 expression can be detected from as early as the 44th day of gestation.³⁸ FOXP2 expression is first detected in the midline of the hindbrain, and subsequently becomes more complex as the embryonic development progresses.³⁸ FOXP2 expression was detected only in some brain regions, including the cerebellum, thalamus, caudate nucleus, and the putamen, and was sometimes limited to specific structures in those regions, e.g., Purkinje cells in the cerebellum.³⁸ Some of these brain regions, such as the caudate nucleus, the putamen, and the cerebellum, were previously implicated as regions in which affected KE family members differed from unaffected KE family members or controls in terms of structural abnormalities⁷ (see above).

**FOXP2 Targets**

It is thus clear that, as a transcription factor expressed in the brain, FOXP2 can potentially affect a variety of genes that could be relevant to speech, language, and/or motor control. In theory, it could also be influencing each of these phenotypes independently. Researchers thus set out to find the genes that FOXP2 regulates, i.e., its targets. One study³⁹ looked for FOXP2 targets in cells derived from the basal ganglia and the inferior frontal cortex of human fetal brains (during midgestation), as well as cells derived from human lung tissue, as FOXP2 shows high expression in the lung.³⁶ This study identified 175 FOXP2 targets in the basal ganglia and 144 targets in the inferior frontal cortex, with a 24% overlap of inferior frontal cortex genes over basal ganglia genes. Additionally, 141 genes and 110 other target genes were specific to the basal ganglia and the inferior frontal cortex, respectively. These may represent targets of region-specific FOXP2 regulation.³⁹ 192 targets were...
of intermediate progenitors and neurons. 43 In this context, the FOXP2 protein with the amino acid change found in affected KE family members was shown to cause increased radial precursors and decreased intermediate progenitors and neurons.43 Taken together, these findings support those of FOXP2 targets and provide further support to the hypothesis that FOXP2 is involved in neural development, and, particularly, in neurite outgrowth.

**CNTNAP2**

A good example of a gene in a pathway through which FOXP2 may be influencing language is that of CNTNAP2 (contactin associated protein-like 2, OMIM#604569). CNTNAP2 encodes a transmembrane protein, CASPR2, which is found at the nodes of Ranvier (gaps in the myelin sheaths insulating the axons of neurons).44 Additionally, CASPR2 appears to be involved in cortical development and neuroblast migration and laminar organization in humans.45 CNTNAP2 shows enriched expression in brain regions that are important for language, and its expression pattern in the human brain is remarkably different from its expression pattern in the rodent brain.46

Mutations in CNTNAP2 have been implicated in epilepsy, language regression and mental retardation,45 and Tourette’s syndrome,47 and variants in the gene have been shown to be associated with age at first word in probands with autism.48

A study by Vernes et al.19 found that CNTNAP2 was a FOXP2 target, and that it was down-regulated by it. Moreover, this study also found an association between variants in CNTNAP2 and nonword repetition in probands with specific language impairment: nine intronic SNPs located between exons 13 and 15 of CNTNAP2 were significantly associated with nonword repetition.19 An association for one of those SNPs with nonword repetition was replicated in a dyslexia cohort.31 Interestingly, a recent association study of early language proficiency in the general population found that the variants in CNTNAP2 implicated in studies of specific language impairment19 and autism48 were also associated with the early stages of language development in children from the general population.49

More recently, an exome-sequencing study of sporadic autism spectrum disorders identified a potentially deleterious missense mutation in CNTNAP2 in a proband who also had a de novo mutation in FOXP1 (forkhead box P1).50 This is interesting as both of these genes relate to FOXP2: CNTNAP2 is regulated by FOXP2, and FOXP1 interacts with it. Findings, such as this, assist in the further characterization of pathways involving FOXP2 and assist in the identification of major players thereof. See Box 2 for more information on the possible interaction between FOXP2 and FOXP1.

Thus, CNTNAP2, a FOXP2 target, appears to be involved in several neurodevelopmental disorders in which language is impaired. This example shows how FOXP2 can be influencing various overlapping pathways in various disorders. Considering the fact that FOXP2 has many neural targets,39,40 it is not surprising that a disruption in FOXP2 can manifest itself as a very complex phenotype.

**FOXP2 IN OTHER SPECIES**

FOXP2 is not found only in humans; FOXP2 orthologs are found in other vertebrates, and the
FOXP2 protein is conserved across different species. If one disregards two polyglutamine stretches, which vary in different species, the FOXP2 protein is highly conserved across different species. It is thus possible to study the function of the gene in other species, many of which, like humans, communicate vocally.

**BOX 2**

A POSSIBLE INTERACTION BETWEEN FOXP2 AND FOXP1

FOXP2 and FOXP1 (OMIM#605515) both belong to the same gene family, the forkhead/winged-helix family of transcription factors, and their proteins share a high degree of similarity in their DNA-binding domain. Like FOXP2, FOXP1 is also expressed in neural tissue during development. Both proteins have two highly homologous repression domains. An expression study of FOXP2 and FOXP1 in developing and adult mice brains and in human fetal brain tissue found that while both genes were expressed in the brain, they each had their own distinct expression pattern with regards to different brain regions, with the exception of the basal ganglia. Both genes were expressed in the cortex, albeit in different layers. Interestingly, a study of FOXP2 and FOXP1 expression in human and zebra finch (a songbird) brains found that both genes were expressed in brain circuits related to song learning and production. Moreover, FOXP1, in contrast to that of FOXP2, consistently showed a difference in expression levels between males and females in sexually dimorphic brain regions, in that males had high levels of FOXP1 expression in the song-related brain regions, whereas the corresponding regions in the female brain did not. Expression patterns of both genes in human and zebra finch brain appeared to be highly similar. The structural and functional similarities between the two genes and the partial overlap in expression patterns in brain regions important for language may suggest a possible interaction between them. More recently, disruptions in FOXP1 have been implicated in speech delay, intellectual disability, and autism, and more cases are reviewed in Ref 35.

Sequence Conservation in Other Species

The human and mouse FOXP2 orthologs differ at only three amino acids, whereas the human and chimpanzee orthologs differ at two of those three amino acids. These two amino acid substitutions [threonine-to-asparagine at position 303 (T303N) and asparagine-to-serine at position 325 (N325S)] are both found in exon 7 of FOXP2. The asparagine-to-serine substitution occurred independently in some carnivore and bat species, which suggests that this substitution alone cannot account for any human-specific functions of FOXP2. In addition to that, these two amino acid substitutions were not found in whales, which are also vocal-learning mammals. That said, these two amino acid substitutions were not found to be polymorphic in humans. These substitutions may have functional consequences, and they are likely to have been positively selected in humans.

As FOXP2 is involved in speech and language in humans, and it is found in other species, the question was raised of whether any changes in FOXP2 could be found in animal species with more complex forms of vocal communication (i.e., ones in which learning is involved) compared with species with simpler forms of vocal communication. Webb et al. compared the sequence of exon 7 in the FOXP2 gene of song-learning birds with that of non-song-learning birds, and examined whether other vocal-learning mammals such as whales and dolphins shared any of the amino acid substitutions that were thought to be human-specific. No difference in the amino acid sequence encoded by exon 7 of FoxP2 between song-learning birds and non-song-learning birds was found, and the sequence did not differ from that of the mouse. Similarly to the case of whales, the dolphin amino acid sequence of exon 7 did not contain the two amino acid substitutions previously found in humans. However, it was found that whales and dolphins shared three amino acid substitutions, in contrast to the hippocampus, their closest relative, which had an amino acid sequence identical to that of the mouse, and the human threonine-to-asparagine substitution was flanked by two substitutions in the whale and dolphin sequences. Interestingly, FOXP2 orthologs in echolocating bats were quite diverse in their sequences, and some variation in exons 7 and 17 of the bat FoxP2 seemed to be correlated with echolocation type and phylogenetic boundaries, suggesting that FoxP2 may have played a role in the development of these echolocation systems.

A 2007 study by Krause et al. found the two amino acid substitutions, T303N and N325S, in two Neanderthal samples. The authors argue that, given their data, it is likely that changes in FOXP2 and the selective sweep originated in the common ancestor of Neanderthals and modern humans, suggesting that they took place sometime between
300,000 and 400,000 years ago. However, this view was challenged by Coop et al., who also argued that further experiments were needed in order to rule out human contamination of the Neanderthal samples. An alternative suggested by Ptak et al. is that two selective sweeps possibly occurred: one before the human–Neanderthal split (during which the two amino acid substitutions were fixed) and one after the split occurring only in humans and unrelated to the two amino acid substitutions. The authors show that this scenario is consistent with the linkage disequilibrium and Neanderthal data. The consequences thereof may mean that some unknown human-specific variants have been positively selected nonetheless. One candidate for this potential selective sweep is a variant in a regulatory sequence in FOXP2 that is not present in Neanderthals. A study that compared the regulatory function of human FOXP2 and chimpanzee FoxP2 in human neuronal cells found 116 genes that were significantly differentially regulated by FOXP2 and FoxP2. The authors suggest that some of these human-specific differential targets may be important in higher cognitive functions.

**Conservation of FOXP2 Expression Patterns in Other Species**

Several studies investigated the expression patterns of FOXP2 in neural tissue in a variety of species. Lai et al. compared the expression patterns of FOXP2 in the developing human and mouse brains. Their results indicated high conservation of expression patterns at onset in the brains of both species, with regards to both the timing and tissue distribution. Even as brain development progressed, both expression patterns continued to resemble each other (it should be noted that the studying of human embryonic expression was confined to early gestation). Teramitsu et al. found highly similar expression patterns in human and zebra finch brains (discussed in more detail in Box 2).

A study of FoxP2 expression in avian vocal learners and non-learners found that striatal expression was similar between birds and mammals, whereas pallial expression was not. Another interesting finding from this study is that FoxP2 expression levels in Area X (a brain region involved in the acquisition and learning of songs in song-birds which is part of the basal ganglia loop) increased in young zebra finches and in adult canaries when the former learned to imitate song and when the latter remodeled their songs.

A study of FoxP2 expression in zebrafish found that the expression pattern in the brain of the zebrafish was similar to that of humans and included regions that correspond to brain regions which showed abnormalities in the affected KE family members.

Other studies have investigated FoxP2 expression across various species. These include a study of the rat brain, which found high levels of expression in the striatum of the basal ganglia during brain development, a study of the monkey brain, which found a mosaic FoxP2 expression pattern in the caudate nucleus and putamen (which decreased during development, starting with the putamen) and the developing cerebral cortex, and a study of Foxp2 expression in four species of mice, which also found a high and heterogeneous Foxp2 expression pattern in the caudate nucleus and putamen across species.

The high degree of conservation in the expression patterns in neural tissue and amino acid sequence of FOXP2 orthologs across various species suggest that FOXP2 may have a more general role in the development of the nervous system.

**Functional Studies of FOXP2 in Other Species**

FOXp2 has been the subject of several functional studies using animal models. Shu et al. disrupted one or two copies of the Foxp2 gene in mice, thus producing heterozygous mice or knockout mice, respectively. The knockout mice showed severe motor impairment, and died prematurely. Both the heterozygous and the knockout mice had a significantly reduced number of ultrasonic vocalizations (calls that are elicited by the pups when removed from their mothers) compared with the wild-type mice, despite the fact that apparatus for the production of these vocalizations was found to be normal in both heterozygous and knockout mice. Cerebral deficits were also found in all mice carrying the Foxp2 disruption. Fujita et al. studied mice that had been genetically engineered to carry a mutation in Foxp2 corresponding to the mutation found in the affected KE family members. Mice homozygous for this mutation showed severe motor abnormalities. Heterozygous mice showed modest impairment in ultrasonic vocalization, whereas homozygous mice showed severe impairment. The Foxp2 mutation also prevented the normal development of the cerebellum in homozygous mice and the normal maturation of dendrites in the Purkinje neurons in both homozygous and heterozygous mice. Groszer et al. also studied mice carrying this mutation (using an independent mouse generated for this study), and found that it caused cerebellar abnormalities in homozygous mice and deficits in motor-skill learning, rather than gross motor skills as previously...
reported, and abnormal striatal synaptic plasticity in heterozygous mice, including a loss of long-term synaptic depression.\(^\text{72}\) In terms of their vocalizations, homozygous mice produced significantly fewer distress calls than the heterozygous and wild-type mice, and significantly more clicks than the wild-type mice.\(^\text{72}\) However, a study of very young mouse pups carrying either of two mutations (one corresponding to the missense mutation found in affected KE family members,\(^\text{22}\) and another corresponding to the nonsense mutation found in a proband and his mother\(^\text{25}\)) showed that mouse pups carrying these mutations did not significantly differ from wild-type mice in terms of their vocalizations, when the pups were subject to isolation or distress.\(^\text{73}\) Since the mice pups were only 4 days old, an age at which they are still deaf, the conclusions of this study pertain to the innate ability in syllable production (i.e., when producing the same syllable on several occasions).\(^\text{76}\) Another study examined FoxP2 regulation in Area X during adult song production and not song learning. Interestingly, FoxP2 in Area X was down-regulated in the context of undirected singing, compared to that of directed singing.\(^\text{77}\) These results, which show that FoxP2 is down-regulated during undirected singing in adult zebra finches in a brain region usually associated with song learning in young zebra finches, may suggest a role for FoxP2 beyond the developmental stages of vocal control circuits in the brain.\(^\text{77}\) At the protein level, FoxP2 levels in Area X have been shown to decrease after both directed and undirected singing. However, a negative (and nonsignificant) correlation between FoxP2 levels and amount of singing was found only in the context of undirected singing.\(^\text{78}\)

A recent study\(^\text{74}\) examined auditory-motor association learning in heterozygous mice carrying the same two types of mutation described above. The mice had to learn to associate a certain sound with a motor activity required to cross a hurdle. Mice carrying the missense mutation were able to learn at a slower rate than wild-type mice, but ultimately reached the same performance level as that of the wild-type mice, whereas mice carrying the nonsense mutation also learned slowly, never reaching the same performance level as that of the wild-type mice.\(^\text{74}\) In another study, mice carrying the KE family mutation were shown to have abnormal striatal activity in terms of the firing rate of the neurons, as well as changes in striatal plasticity during motor-skill learning, compared to wild-type mice.\(^\text{75}\)

Haesler et al.\(^\text{76}\) specifically reduced FoxP2 levels in the Area X region in the brains of zebra finches using RNA interference. In their previous study, Haesler et al. discovered that FoxP2 expression levels in Area X increased during song learning.\(^\text{65}\) In their subsequent study, in which FoxP2 levels were purposely reduced in Area X in male zebra finches, Haesler et al. found that the zebra finches that had their FoxP2 levels reduced (knockdown zebra finches) exhibited poor song-imitation skills (young males learn their songs from adult male tutors), which typically included syllable omissions, imprecise copying of syllable duration, and inaccurate imitation of spectral characteristics.\(^\text{76}\) Knockdown zebra finches also displayed more variability in syllable production (i.e., when producing the same syllable on several occasions).\(^\text{76}\) Another study examined FoxP2 regulation in Area X of adult zebra finches, in a social context, during directed and undirected singing, i.e., when males sing during social interactions and when they are alone or do not sing toward a conspecific, respectively.\(^\text{77}\) It should be noted that this study focused on FoxP2 regulation in Area X during adult song production and not song learning. Interestingly, FoxP2 in Area X was down-regulated in the context of undirected singing, compared to that of directed singing.\(^\text{77}\) These results, which show that FoxP2 is down-regulated during undirected singing in adult zebra finches in a brain region usually associated with song learning in young zebra finches, may suggest a role for FoxP2 beyond the developmental stages of vocal control circuits in the brain.\(^\text{77}\) At the protein level, FoxP2 levels in Area X have been shown to decrease after both directed and undirected singing. However, a negative (and nonsignificant) correlation between FoxP2 levels and amount of singing was found only in the context of undirected singing.\(^\text{78}\)

A subsequent study examined FoxP2 regulation in Area X in both hearing and deafened zebra finches.\(^\text{79}\) Deafening resulted in disrupted development but did not affect basal FoxP2 levels.\(^\text{79}\) Interestingly, FoxP2 was down-regulated in both hearing and deafened young zebra finches, similar to the case of adult zebra finches, after they were allowed to sing for 2 h, suggesting that the FoxP2 regulation did not solely depend on auditory feedback, although only in the hearing birds did the down-regulation depend on the amount of singing, suggesting a more complex regulatory mechanism.\(^\text{79}\)

**Animal Studies of the Humanized FOXP2**

In a recent study a humanized version of the FOXP2 gene was studied in mice. The two previously discussed amino acid substitutions that are found in humans (but not in chimpanzees) (T303N and N325S) were introduced into the endogenous murine Foxp2.\(^\text{80}\) Mice carrying two humanized alleles were compared to mice carrying one disrupted humanized allele (either R553H or R328X) and one wild-type murine allele. The former exhibited reduced exploratory behavior compared with the latter, but no other significant difference was found in almost 300 measurements of assessing a variety of physiological systems, suggesting that the humanized allele influences mainly the brain.\(^\text{80}\)

Further investigations of the effect the humanized FOXP2 might have on the mouse brain found the following: a reduction in dopamine levels in humanized FOXP2 mice compared to the wild-type and heterozygous mice; longer dendritic trees of...
medium spiny neurons in the striatum of humanized FOXP2 mice compared with the wild-type and heterozygous mice; long-term synaptic depression was higher in medium spiny neurons of humanized FOXP2 mice than in those of wild-type mice; altered frequencies of ultrasonic vocalizations in humanized FOXP2 mice compared with wild-type mice.\textsuperscript{80} As the humanized FOXP2 was not found to be influencing physiological measurements unless they were related to the central nervous system, it is likely that the two amino acid substitutions in question affect the brain circuits in the mouse, of which the corresponding regions in the human brain could arguably be relevant to speech and language.\textsuperscript{80} An additional finding in this study was that 34 genes showed differential expression between humanized FOXP2 mice and wild-type mice.\textsuperscript{80}

A subsequent study examined whether the changes in long-term synaptic depression and dendritic length occur in other brain regions, and concluded that the humanized FOXP2 increased dendritic length only in neurons that form part of the cortico-basal ganglia circuits, and that it influences synaptic plasticity in medium spiny neurons, but not in Purkinje neurons.\textsuperscript{81}

**CONCLUSION**

The FOXP2 gene is the first gene found to be involved in a speech and language disorder. The disorder was first observed and studied in a British family known as the KE family, but similar, independent cases have since been reported. Various disruptions in the FOXP2 gene have been reported, and the conditions caused by said disruptions tend to include a broad spectrum of deficits, including; speech and language problems, verbal dyspraxia, low performance IQ, developmental delay, and brain abnormalities. The FOXP2 protein acts as a transcription factor, and has been shown, for the main part, to repress the expression of neural targets. A thoroughly studied example of a gene repressed by FOXP2, CNTNAP2, has been implicated in disorders such as specific language impairment and autism, among others, which sheds some light on the mechanism through which FOXP2 may be influencing speech and language. FOXP2 is a highly conserved protein and exists in many other vertebrates. Studies of FOXP2 function in species that communicate vocally and/or are vocal learners show that there exists a high degree of conservation in expression patterns across different species, and that FOXP2 is involved in brain circuits that are important for vocal learning and communication. The human FOXP2 ortholog contains amino acid substitutions which, when introduced into the mouse, have been shown to affect the function of the gene in the brain. Given the evidence from the wide variety of studies that have been performed at various levels, from the gene and up to the brain, it is clear why a disruption in FOXP2 may have a cascade of different effects: it can cause changes in the regulation of FOXP2 target genes, many of which are expressed in the brain. Functional studies of FOXP2 have shown that the gene plays a crucial role in the development of several brain regions that are known to be part of speech and language-related circuits. Molecular studies of the effects of FOXP2 disruptions in individuals with a speech and language disorder show that its function is considerably affected, which may result in the abnormal development of important brain regions. The exact mechanisms and pathways of FOXP2 and its neuronal targets and the way in which they affect speech and language are not yet completely clear, but continuing work is being carried out by scientists from various disciplines, from genetics to neuroscience, in order to shed some light thereon. Future studies may focus on the genes that regulate FOXP2 as well as continue to study the genes that FOXP2 regulates. The genetic and biochemical study of these complex genetic pathways, as well as functional studies in vocal learning species will allow us to better understand the biological foundations of human language.
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